#### NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

### IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

#### **DIVISION FOUR**

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JENESA MOORE,

Defendant and Appellant.

B210078

(Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. BA342746)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Marcelita V. Haynes, Judge. Affirmed.

Tara Hoveland, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Jenesa Moore appeals from the judgment entered following her no contest plea to having a concealed firearm on her person. (Pen. Code, § 12025, subd. (a)(2).) Imposition of sentence was suspended and she was placed on formal probation for three years under certain terms and conditions including that she serve 120 days in jail. She was given credit for 45 days in custody, consisting of 31 days of actual custody and 14 days of conduct credit. She was ordered to pay a restitution fine in the amount of \$200, a probation revocation fine in the same amount, which was ordered stayed with the stay to become permanent upon the successful completion of probation. Appellant was advised that if she remained free of probation violations for two years, the court, on its own motion, would reduce the conviction to a misdemeanor pursuant to Penal Code section 17, subdivision (b)(5). A charge of carrying an unregistered, loaded firearm (Pen. Code, § 12031, subd. (a)(1)) was dismissed in the interest of justice.

According to the preliminary hearing, on June 26, 2008, at approximately 12:15 a.m., in the area of East 84th Place in Los Angeles, a police officer observed appellant sitting on the hood of an automobile. As appellant got off the automobile and began to head toward a nearby house, a loaded, blue, steel automatic pistol fell to the ground from underneath her baggie, hooded sweatshirt. A male was also sitting on the vehicle and he jumped off and headed toward the same house. The weapon appeared to be in working order and was booked into evidence. Records indicated the gun was not registered in appellant's name.

After review of the record, appellant's court-appointed counsel filed an opening brief requesting this court to independently review the record pursuant to the holding of *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.

On January 28, 2009, we advised appellant that she had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues which she wished us to consider. No response has been received to date.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that no arguable issues exist, and that appellant has, by virtue of counsel's compliance with the *Wende* procedure and our review of the record, received adequate and effective appellate review of the

judgment entered against her in this case.	(Smith v. Robbins (2000) 528 U.S. 259, 278
People v. Kelly (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 11	2-113.)

## DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

# NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

We concur:	WILLHITE, J.
EPSTEIN, P. J.	
MANELLA, J.	